

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,183	03/31/2004	Raymond P. Feith	11461-030-999 (CAM: 8066	7854
Olson & Cepu	7590 05/28/2008 ritis, LTD.	EXAMINER		
20 NORTH W	ACKER DRIVE	VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG		
36TH FLOOR CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/816,183	FEITH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
QUYNH-NHU H. VU	3763		

	QUYNH-NHU H. VU	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estimation of time may be waitable under the provisions of 3 TCP 11 1369. In no event, however, may a ruply be limitly filed after SK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for ruply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to ruply within the safe or extended period for ruply will be supposed to the communication of the supposed of the supposed of the communication of the supposed of the communication of the supposed of the supposed of the communication of the supposed of the supp							
Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-23 is/are withdrawr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

- 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04 & 6/29/04.

- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/816,183 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I, Species F in the reply filed on 4/24/08 is acknowledged.

Applicant's election with traverse of Species F, G and H in the reply filed on 4/24/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Species F, G and H are same embodiment (Figs. 14-16). Examiner agrees at this point.

It is noted that there is no valve cage in Figs. 15-16 as Applicant recites in claims 7-8. Claims 7-8 belong to Figs. 17-18 (non elected species) with a cage 121. Therefore, Examiner will not exam claims 7-8.

Claims 4-8, 9-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Group II & III, and Species A-E and I there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/24/08.

Applicant states that claims 4-6, 9-19 and 22-23 were cancelled in the preliminary amendment of March 31, 2004. However, there is no record show that these claims are cancelled by Applicant.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a second valve seat" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the Art Unit: 3763

brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richmond (US 4.946.448).

Richmond discloses an injection port comprising: a housing defining a flow channel 80; an injection lumen 66; a valve element 84 having properties for forming a first, second and third valve seats 82, 83, 78.

It is well established that a recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed, i.e. "for forming a second seal with second valve seat at a first pressure"; "for forming a second seal...in the flow channel"; "...for forming an open configuration ...said second pressure" of claim 1; the recitation "for forming a third seal with the third valve seat" of claim 2; the recitation "...for opening at least the first seal ...the flow channel" and "...for opening the third seal in response...into the injection lumen" of claim 3, functional limitations, do not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus which differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/816,183 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Double Patenting

The nonstalutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1939); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thonington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1980).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,364,861. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are not structurally distinguishable from the claims in the patents.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh-Nhu H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am to 3:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Quynh-Nhu H. Vu Examiner Art Unit 3763